Report to the Flood Control Advisory Board

Prepared by the Flood Control District of Maricopa County

Title:	Floodplain Regulations – Appeals Process Revisions
Document Number:	**Text Amendment FCD 2013-001**
Advisory Board Date:	12/4/2013
Supervisor Districts:	All

Request: Text Amendment FCD 2013-001 to the Floodplain Regulations of Maricopa County, revising the appeals process under the regulations to reduce a regulatory burden.

Discussion: The proposed text amendment will accomplish the following:

- Clarify that appeals on reactivated sand and gravel permits are limited to the requirement for written notification, or the revised permit renewal date, and that reactivation of a voluntarily-suspended sand and gravel permit is not a new permit.
- Remove from Section 409 (Appeals) language pertinent to variances, which are separately addressed in the regulations; and, clarify parties' appeal abilities by distinguishing the allowable bases of appeal for "any person", "applicants", and "regulated persons".
- Specify information that is required to be included in a written notice of appeal: name and address of the person requesting the interpretation, the regulation that requires clarification, any facts relevant to the requested interpretation and the person's proposed interpretation of the applicable regulation. This information is consistent with the requirements for applicants who are seeking a clarification of interpretation pursuant to A.R.S. § 48-3649.
- Remove the requirement to stay all activities during the pendency of an appeal.
- Clarify the scope of Floodplain Review Board interpretations as affecting only the dispute between the appellant and District employees, rather than being generally applicable. The edit is intended to ensure that the Maricopa County Enhanced Regulatory Outreach Policy's requirements are applied to broader regulation interpretations.
- Clarify that, following an appeal to the Floodplain Review Board, the appellant (rather than "any person") has the right to pursue further appeal to the Board of Directors; and that an appellant aggrieved by a Board of Directors decision may file a special action in the Superior Court of the State of Arizona.

Previous Board Actions:	The Board of Directors approved the current version of the Floodplain Regulations of Maricopa County under resolution FCD 2011R005 on November 30, 2011 (C-69-12-018-7-00).
Staff Recommendation:	Approve
Public Meeting Dates:	An initial stakeholder meeting was held on October 24, 2013. Six external stakeholders attended.
Public Support/Opposition:	The content of the proposed Floodplain Regulations Amendment was formulated through a collaborative effort with Arizona Rock Products Association staff. Stakeholder meeting attendees indicated no opposition to the proposed amendment; one comment sheet was submitted expressing support. Comments are being accepted through the County's Enhanced Regulatory Outreach website, and no additional comments have been received.
Other Public Agency Involvement:	Invitations to the stakeholder meeting were sent to representatives of all cities and towns in Maricopa County, and various federal and state agencies. The stakeholder meeting was attended by staff representatives of the City of Peoria and City of Chandler.
Attachments:	Proposed amendment; approval memorandum per Moratorium on Increased Regulatory Burdens; public meeting minutes, meeting agenda, meeting materials, attendance roster and comment sheets.

Prepared by:
Christopher Fazio, Finance Manager

Reviewed by:
Ed Raleigh, P.E., Deputy Chief Engineer & General Manager
Kelli Sertich, Manager, Floodplain Management & Services Division

Paragraph E of Section 401, Floodplain Use Permit Required, is amended to read as follows:

E. Voluntary Limited Suspension of a Floodplain Use Permit for Extraction of Sand and Gravel or Other Materials: The permittee may request a suspension of a Floodplain Use Permit for the extraction of sand and gravel or other materials by submitting a written request to the Floodplain Administrator that contains the following information:

1. The facility location and permit number for which a suspension is being requested,
2. The effective date and time frame of the suspension,
3. A statement of the reason or reasons for the suspension, and
4. Current facility contact information to be maintained with the Floodplain Administrator.

If the permittee is in compliance with the current permit, the Floodplain Administrator shall issue a suspension of the existing permit for a period not-to-exceed five (5) years during a single suspension period or not-to-exceed a total of five (5) years during multiple suspension periods. The expiration date for any permit suspended under this Section shall be extended by the total time period(s) of the suspension(s).

While a Floodplain Use Permit for the extraction of sand and gravel or other materials is suspended, the permittee is required to maintain existing erosion controls; and to make repairs to the property necessitated by flood events as required by permit or agency directive. All mining, processing of material, material handling, grading, or any other activities not expressly authorized by the Floodplain Administrator within the floodplain shall cease during the suspension period(s). The suspension of the Floodplain Use Permit for the extraction of sand and gravel or other materials does not limit the permittee's right to maintain or remove equipment or impact any other operations that take place entirely outside of the floodplain.

While a Floodplain Use Permit for the extraction of sand and gravel or other materials within the floodplain is suspended, the Floodplain Administrator may inspect the permitted property to ensure compliance with this Section.

The permit may be reactivated by the permittee by submitting a written notification to the Floodplain Administrator fifteen (15) days prior to the resumption of operations to allow the Floodplain Administrator time to inspect the site to verify compliance with the permit. At the end of the suspension period or upon reactivation of the permit, the Floodplain Administrator will ~~issue a new~~ reactivate the permit with a revised renewal date reflecting the extension of the time associated with suspension period. For purposes of Section 409, only the written notification requirement and revised renewal date are appealable on a reactivated permit.

Paragraph B of Section 404, Permit Conditions, is amended to read as follows:

B. Extraction of Sand and Gravel or Other Materials

Issuance of a Floodplain Use Permit for extraction of sand and gravel or other material shall include the following conditions:

1. The Plan of development is subject to post-flood review and possible modification if necessary due to flood related changes in river morphology.
2. The operator of an active sand and gravel extraction operation permitted under these Regulations shall maintain a copy on site of the permit along with an approved Plan of development bearing the approval of the Floodplain Administrator. Failure to maintain a copy on site of the approved Floodplain Use Permit and Plan of development shall be a violation of these Regulations, subject to revocation of the Floodplain Use Permit pursuant to this Section and a fine pursuant to Section 708 of these Regulations.
3. A Floodplain Use Permit for the extraction of sand and gravel or other materials shall not exceed a five (5) year duration unless suspended pursuant to Section ~~404(B)7~~ 401(E) and may be issued for a lesser duration of time as determined by the Floodplain Administrator.
4. The Floodplain Administrator may issue a permit of short duration for an applicant participating in an ongoing application process.
5. Any request for a major or minor change to an approved Floodplain Use Permit for the extraction of sand and gravel or other materials including an approved Plan of development shall require an application to amend the permit.
6. The Floodplain Administrator shall advise the applicant that the issuance of a floodplain use permit does not negate any requirements to obtain all permits from those governmental agencies from which approval is required by Federal or State law.

Section 409, Appeals, is amended to read as follows:

A. Appeals may be taken to the Floodplain Review Board pursuant to A.R.S. §48-3612(B) and A.R.S. §48-3642 ~~by any person who feels that there is error or doubt in the interpretation of these Regulations, which includes denial of permits and final decisions of inspections, or that due to unusual circumstances attaching to his property an unnecessary hardship is being inflicted on him. The appeal shall state whether it is a plea for an interpretation or a variance and the grounds for the appeal~~. Any person, if there is a dispute between the person and district employees or if location of a floodway or floodplain is in doubt, may file an appeal seeking an interpretation of the regulations if the meaning of a word, phrase or section is in doubt. An applicant for a license may file an appeal seeking an interpretation of the regulations, after substantially complying with A.R.S. §§ 48-3649, if the meaning of a word, phrase or section is in doubt or challenging a denial of a permit. A regulated person, if there is a dispute between the regulated person and district employees of a final decision of a district based on the results of an inspection, may file an appeal to the Floodplain Review Board seeking an interpretation of the regulations if the meaning of a word, phrase or section is in doubt.

B. Appeals ~~of any decision of the Floodplain Administrator~~ to the Floodplain Review Board shall be filed with the Floodplain Administrator within thirty (30) calendar days of the receipt of notice of the decision to be appealed, or sixty (60) calendar days from the date of the decision whichever is earlier. The notice of appeal shall be in writing on a form provided by the Floodplain Administrator and ~~specify the grounds for appeal~~ shall state the name and address of the person requesting the interpretation, the regulation that requires clarification, any facts relevant to the requested interpretation and the person's proposed interpretation of the applicable regulation.

C. ~~During the pendency of an appeal all existing floodplain delineations shall remain in effect. All other matters regarding the proceeding shall be stayed during its pendency unless the Administrator certifies to the Floodplain Review Board that by reason of facts surrounding the application the stay would, in his or her opinion, cause imminent peril to life or property.~~ Any interpretation of the regulations issued by the Floodplain Review Board shall only affect the dispute between the appellant and district employees. If the district wants to expand the application of the Floodplain Review Board's interpretation of the regulations, the district shall follow the procedures required by Maricopa County's Enhanced Regulatory Outreach Program Policy.

D. The Floodplain Review Board shall fix a time for hearing the appeal and give notice to the parties in interest and to the public as set forth herein. The Floodplain Review Board shall hear and decide the appeal within a reasonable time.

E. Property shall be posted pursuant to procedures adopted by the Floodplain Review Board.

F. Any ~~person~~ appellant aggrieved by a decision of the Floodplain Review Board may, within thirty (30) days of such decision, appeal to the Board of Directors by filing a written notice of appeal with the Clerk of the Board on a form provided by the Floodplain Administrator. Said notice shall ~~specify the grounds of the appeal~~ state the name and address of the person requesting the interpretation, the regulation that requires clarification, any facts relevant to the requested interpretation and the person's proposed interpretation of the applicable regulation. ~~The Board of Directors shall conduct the appeal under such rules of procedure, as they shall adopt.~~

G. Any ~~person~~ appellant aggrieved by a decision of the Board of Directors may file a special action in Superior Court of the State of Arizona ~~to determine if an abuse of discretion by the Board of Directors, the Floodplain Review Board or the Floodplain Administrator may have occurred~~.

~~H. Failure to appeal the Floodplain Administrator's order pursuant to a variance to the Floodplain Review Board within the time period set forth in these Regulations, shall render the order of the Floodplain Administrator final and enforceable.~~

Flood Control District
of Maricopa County

Date: September 4, 2013

To: Tom Manos, County Manager

Via: Joy Rich, Deputy County Manager

From: Tim Phillips, Chief Engineer & General Manager TP 9/4/13

Subject: Text Amendment FCD 2013-001 to Floodplain Regulations of Maricopa County

In accordance with the "Moratorium on Increased Regulatory Burdens" (C-44-13-104-M-00), the Flood Control District of Maricopa County requests your approval to pursue a text amendment to the Floodplain Regulations of Maricopa County (TA FCD 2013-001). The proposed amendment generally clarifies the process and limitations associated with appeals. Consistent with the moratorium, the amendment is proposed to lessen a regulatory burden.

The proposed amendment accomplishes the following:

- Clarify that appeals on reactivated sand and gravel permits are limited to the requirement for written notification, or the revised permit renewal date, and that reactivation of a voluntarily-suspended sand and gravel permit is not a new permit.
- Remove from Section 409 (Appeals) language pertinent to variances, which are separately addressed in the regulations; and, clarify parties' appeal abilities by distinguishing the allowable bases of appeal for "any person", "applicants", and "regulated persons".
- Specify information that is required to be included in a written notice of appeal: name and address of the person requesting the interpretation, the regulation that requires clarification, any facts relevant to the requested interpretation and the person's proposed interpretation of the applicable regulation. This information is consistent with the requirements for applicants who are seeking a clarification of interpretation pursuant to A.R.S. § 49-3649.
- Remove the requirement to stay all activities during the pendency of an appeal.
- Clarify the scope of Floodplain Review Board interpretations as affecting only the dispute between the appellant and District employees, rather than being generally applicable. The edit is intended to ensure that the Maricopa County Enhanced Regulatory Outreach Policy's requirements are applied to broader regulation interpretations.
- Clarify that, following an appeal to the Floodplain Review Board, the *appellant* (rather than "any person") has the right to pursue further appeal to the Board of Directors; and that an appellant aggrieved by a Board of Directors decision may file a special action in the Superior Court of the State of Arizona.

2801 West Durango Street Phoenix, Arizona 85009 Phone: 602-506-1501 Fax: 602-506-4601

Staff recommends advancing this text amendment through the Enhanced Regulatory Outreach process to provide clarification regarding the appeals process and to lessen applicants' regulatory burden.

Approved by:

Tom Manos, County Manager

Flood Control District
of Maricopa County

STAKEHOLDER MEETING MINUTES

Floodplain Regulations for Maricopa County

Text Amendment FCD 2013-001

Adobe & Harquahala Conference Rooms

October 24, 2013 10:00 am – 11:00 am

The meeting commenced at 10:00 am. District staff initiated the meeting with introductions from all attendees. The stated meeting purpose was to review with stakeholders a proposed text amendment to the Floodplain Regulations for Maricopa County, clarifying language in the regulations related to the appeals process.

District staff provided a walkthrough of the County's Regulatory Outreach website, providing instructions in the process for receiving notifications related to regulatory items, and for entering comments related to regulatory items.

Staff reviewed the proposed text changes, and the meeting was opened for comments and questions.

Arizona Rock Products Association representatives voiced support for the amendment, aligning the appeals process in the Floodplain Regulations with language in the State Statutes.

A representative of the City of Peoria questioned the five-year limit on voluntary suspension periods for Sand and Gravel Extraction Permits. District staff provided an explanation of the five-year limit but clarified that the five-year period was not being changed by the proposed amendment and therefore outside the scope of this regulatory update process. The City of Peoria representative questioned regarding the limits on appeals available to "any person" under the proposed Section 409 edits to the Floodplain Regulations. Clarification was provided that the proposed language was to meet statutory requirements.

A poll of attendees indicated no opposition to the proposed amendment.

Attendees were notified of next steps in the process, and the District's current intent to follow its Expedited Regulatory Outreach Process provided that no opposing comments are received.

The meeting adjourned at approximately 10:45 am.

2801 West Durango Street Phoenix, Arizona 85009 Phone: 602-506-1501 Fax: 602-506-4601

Building Relationships

Maricopa County

MARICOPA COUNTY'S ENHANCED REGULATORY OUTREACH PROGRAM

Maricopa County has five regulatory departments that seek to ensure the safety and well-being of our community. Because we understand that regulations and rulemaking decisions, discussions, and meetings can be confusing, we developed the Enhanced Regulatory Outreach Program that allows citizens to easily monitor and engage in the adoption and amendment of all regulations.

AIR QUALITY · ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES · FLOOD CONTROL · PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT · TRANSPORTATION

ENHANCED PUBLIC PARTICIPATION—STAY INVOLVED

Your comments are important! Feedback is compiled and presented to every voting body to help policymakers during the decision process. Submit comments for every proposed regulation going through this program by visiting: **http://www.maricopa.gov/regulations/comments.aspx**.

RECEIVE UP TO DATE NOTIFICATIONS—STAY INFORMED

Sign-up today to receive notice from the five Maricopa County regulatory departments about calendar changes or where items are in the process by visiting: **http://www.maricopa.gov/regulations/Notifications.aspx.**

FOLLOW MARICOPA COUNTY'S REGULATORY ADOPTION PROCESS—STEP-BY-STEP

Step 1 County Manager Briefed Board of Supervisors

Step 2 Conduct Stakeholder Workshop

Step 3 Stakeholder Notification 2 Weeks Prior to Citizen's Board or Commission

Step 4 Public Meeting to Initiate Regulatory Change

Step 5 Specific Departmental Processes

Step 6 Stakeholder Notification 2 Weeks Prior to Citizen's Board or Commission

Step 7 Public Meeting to Make Recommendation to Board of Supervisors

Step 8 Schedule BOS Public Hearing

Step 9 Board of Supervisor Public Hearing

Step 10 Item Adopted

Follow the Regulatory Process for Maricopa County

www.maricopa.gov/regulations

Flood Control District
of Maricopa County

FLOODPLAIN REGULATIONS AMENDMENT FCD 2013-001 - ATTENDANCE ROSTER

Name	Agency	Email	Phone
TONY BEUCHÉ	FCDMC	TONYBEUCHE@MAIL.MARICOPA.GOV	602.506.2329
STU KIMBALL	G+K	ssk@gknet.com	602-530-8425
Jennea Bono	FCDmc	jenneabono@mail.maricopa.gov	602.506.7841
Christopher Fouis	FCDMC	christopherfouis@mail.maricopa.gov	602.506.4489
DON KIRBY	CHANDLER	DONALD.KIRBY@CHANDLERAZ.GOV	480-782-3128
DAN DUFF	ARPA	DAN@AZROCKPRODUCTS.OR	602.692.7328
Burton CHARRON	PEORIA	burtoncharron@peoriaaz.gov	623-773-7212

Flood Control District
of Maricopa County

FLOODPLAIN REGULATIONS AMENDMENT FCD 2013-001 - ATTENDANCE ROSTER

Name	Agency	Email	Phone
MAURICIO IACUELLI	WC SCOTTON	MAURICIO@SCOTTON.COM	623 547 4661 X226
Steve Trussell	ARPA	steve@azrockproducts.org	602 989-3854

2801 West Durango Street Phoenix, Arizona 85009 Phone: 602-506-1501 Fax: 602-506-4601

Flood Control District
of Maricopa County

STAKEHOLDER MEETING AGENDA

Floodplain Regulations for Maricopa County
Text Amendment FCD 2013-001
Adobe & Harquahala Conference Rooms
October 24, 2013 10:00 am – 11:00 am

1. Welcome and Introductions – Communities and Organizations
2. Purpose and Introduction – Kelli Sertich, AICP, CFM
3. Maricopa County Enhanced Regulatory Outreach Program Website
4. Proposed Amendment to Floodplain Regulations for Maricopa County
5. Discuss Issues and Next Steps

2801 West Durango Street Phoenix, Arizona 85009 Phone: 602-506-1501 Fax: 602-506-4601

Floodplain Regulations for Maricopa County Text Amendment FCD 2013-001

Stakeholder Meeting

October 24, 2013

AGENDA

1. Welcome and Introductions – Communities and Organizations
2. Purpose and Introduction – Kelli Sertich, AICP, CFM
3. Maricopa County Enhanced Regulatory Outreach Program Website
4. Proposed Amendment to Floodplain Regulations for Maricopa County
5. Discuss Issues and Next Steps

Purpose & Introduction

- Several Text Amendment to the Floodplain Regulations for Maricopa County have been proposed
- Collaborative effort between stakeholders
- Text Amendment following the Enhanced Regulatory Outreach Process
  - ✓ Step 2 Stakeholder Meeting

Regulatory Outreach Program

http://www.maricopa.gov/regulations/fc/

Proposed Amendments

Paragraph E of Section 401, Floodplain Use Permit Required, is amended to read as follows:

(Last paragraph)

The permit may be reactivated by the permittee by submitting a written notification to the Floodplain Administrator fifteen (15) days prior to the resumption of operations to allow the Floodplain Administrator time to inspect the site to verify compliance with the permit. At the end of the suspension period or upon reactivation of the permit, the Floodplain Administrator will ~~issue a new~~ reactivate the permit with a revised renewal date reflecting the extension of the time associated with suspension period. For purposes of Section 409, only the written notification requirement and revised renewal date are appealable on a reactivated permit.

Proposed Amendments

Paragraph B of Section 404, Permit Conditions, is amended to read as follows:

3. A Floodplain Use Permit for the extraction of sand and gravel or other materials shall not exceed a five (5) year duration unless suspended pursuant to Section ~~404(B)7~~ 401(E) and may be issued for a lesser duration of time as determined by the Floodplain Administrator.

Proposed Amendments

Section 409, Appeals, is amended to read as follows:

A. Appeals may be taken to the Floodplain Review Board pursuant to A.R.S. §48-3612(B) and A.R.S. §48-3642 ~~by any person who feels that there is error or doubt in the interpretation of these Regulations, which includes denial of permits and final decisions of inspections, or that due to unusual circumstances attaching to his property an unnecessary hardship is being inflicted on him. The appeal shall state whether it is a plea for an interpretation or a variance and the grounds for the appeal~~. Any person, if there is a dispute between the person and district employees or if location of a floodway or floodplain is in doubt, may file an appeal seeking an interpretation of the regulations if the meaning of a word, phrase or section is in doubt. An applicant for a license may file an appeal seeking an interpretation of the regulations, after substantially complying with A.R.S. §§ 48-3649, if the meaning of a word, phrase or section is in doubt or challenging a denial of a permit. A regulated person, if there is a dispute between the regulated person and district employees of a final decision of a district based on the results of an inspection, may file an appeal to the Floodplain Review Board seeking an interpretation of the regulations if the meaning of a word, phrase or section is in doubt.

Proposed Amendment (con't)

B. Appeals ~~of any decision of the Floodplain Administrator~~ to the Floodplain Review Board shall be filed with the Floodplain Administrator within thirty (30) calendar days of the receipt of notice of the decision to be appealed, or sixty (60) calendar days from the date of the decision whichever is earlier. The notice of appeal shall be in writing on a form provided by the Floodplain Administrator and ~~specify the grounds for appeal~~ shall state the name and address of the person requesting the interpretation, the regulation that requires clarification, any facts relevant to the requested interpretation and the person's proposed interpretation of the applicable regulation.

Proposed Amendment (con't)

C. ~~During the pendency of an appeal all existing floodplain delineations shall remain in effect. All other matters regarding the proceeding shall be stayed during its pendency unless the Administrator certifies to the Floodplain Review Board that by reason of facts surrounding the application the stay would, in his or her opinion, cause imminent peril to life or property.~~ Any interpretation of the regulations issued by the Floodplain Review Board shall only affect the dispute between the appellant and district employees. If the district wants to expand the application of the Floodplain Review Board's interpretation of the regulations, the district shall follow the procedures required by Maricopa County's Enhanced Regulatory Outreach Program Policy.

D. The Floodplain Review Board shall fix a time for hearing the appeal and give notice to the parties in interest and to the public as set forth herein. The Floodplain Review Board shall hear and decide the appeal within a reasonable time.

Proposed Amendment (con't)

E. Property shall be posted pursuant to procedures adopted by the Floodplain Review Board.

F. Any ~~person~~ appellant aggrieved by a decision of the Floodplain Review Board may, within thirty (30) days of such decision, appeal to the Board of Directors by filing a written notice of appeal with the Clerk of the Board on a form provided by the Floodplain Administrator. Said notice shall ~~specify the grounds of the appeal~~ state the name and address of the person requesting the interpretation, the regulation that requires clarification, any facts relevant to the requested interpretation and the person's proposed interpretation of the applicableregulation. ~~The Board of Directors shall conduct the appeal under such rules of procedure,as they shall adopt.~~

Proposed Amendment (con't)

G. Any ~~person~~ appellant aggrieved by a decision of the Board of Directors may file a special action in Superior Court of the State of Arizona ~~to determine if an abuse of discretion by the Board of Directors, the Floodplain Review Board or the Floodplain Administrator may have occurred~~.

~~H. Failure to appeal the Floodplain Administrator's order pursuant to a variance to the Floodplain Review Board within the time period set forth in these Regulations, shall render the order of the Floodplain Administrator final and enforceable.~~

Issues and Next Steps

- Discussion
- Next Steps

Questions?

History of Floodplain Regulations

- 1974 regulations available in Maricopa County
- 1975 regulations adopted by Board of Supervisors/Directors
- 1977 regulations to conformance with NFIP
- 1986 regulations brought into conformance with Flood Control Districts by State Statute
- Regulations periodically amended

(amended March 23, 1987; April 6, 1988; September 18, 1989; September 3, 1991; December 15, 1993; November 1, 2000; December 20, 2006, November 30, 2011)

Flood Control District
of Maricopa County

REGULATORY OUTREACH WEBSITE – WWW.MARICOPA.GOV/REGULATIONS

Building Relationships

"Maricopa County has five regulatory departments that seek to ensure the safety and well-being of our community. Because we understand that regulations and rule-making decisions, discussions, and meetings can be confusing, we have developed this web-site to allow citizens to easily monitor and engage in the adoption and amendment of all regulations."

Monitor and engage in regulatory actions

Select agency for applicable information



Follow the process for proposed actions

Provide comments directly

Subsribe for notifications



2801 West Durango Street Phoenix, Arizona 85009 Phone: 602-506-1501 Fax: 602-506-4601

Maricopa County Enhanced Regulatory Outreach Program Tentative Schedule		
Department: Flood Control District	**Contact:** Kelli Sertich	**Date Entered in Process:** September 4, 2013
Item Name and Number: TA FCD 2013-001 – Floodplain Regulations Appeals Process		

Step No.	Process	Date	Comments
1	County Manager brief Board of Directors	Week of September 23, 2013	Amendment/clarification related to appeals process
2	Notification Two Weeks Prior to Stakeholder Workshop	October 7, 2013	Notice via County web page and stakeholder list
3	Stakeholder Workshop	October 24, 2013	Stakeholder workshop to review proposed amendment and gather input
4	*Notification Two Weeks Prior to Citizens' Board Meeting*	*Tentative November 20, 2013*	*Notice/staff report via County web page, stakeholder list, and advisory board agenda*
5	*Public Meeting to Initiate Regulatory Change*	*Tentative December 4, 2013*	*Flood Control Advisory Board; following meeting, evaluate suitability of Expedited Process*
6	*Notification 30 Days Prior to Board of Directors Meeting*	*Tentative December 11, 2013 Assumes Expedited Process*	*If requirements for Expedited Process are met; SOLAR deadline November 21, 2013*
7	*Board of Directors Public Hearing*	*Tentative January 22, 2014 Assumes Expedited Process*	*If requirements for Expedited Process are met; SOLAR deadline January 2, 2014*
8	*Item Adopted*	*Tentative January 22, 2014 Assumes Expedited Process*	*Publish copy of revised Floodplain Regulations to County web site*

Floodplain Regulations of Maricopa County Proposed Text Amendment FCD 2013-001

Comment Card

Comments may alternately be provided through the maricopa.gov/regulations "Comments" page

Comment is intended to:

Express Support ✓

Express Opposition _____

Other _____

Your contact information – fields marked by * are mandatory:

Name* Stu Trussell

Agency AKPA

City* Phx

Email* stuart@azrockproducts.org

Phone (602) 989-3854

Would you like to be contacted regarding your comment?* not necessary

Comments:

The Arizona Rock Products Association is very pleased with both the process and the results of the changes. We are appirmed that the changes to the FCD regulations will provide both clarity and consistancy with existing statutes. Thank You!